## FAMU/FSU College of Engineering

# **Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering**

## **Testing and Validation**

Team 301 – FPL Pole Health Detection Names:

Corie Cates Alonzo Russell Leonardo Velazquez Thomas Williams

### Date:

April 2, 2021

### **GPR Simulation Tests**

### Test 1:

Date: Feb 11, 2021

Test: Using gprMax to simulate 2D cross section (22cm in diameter) with void in the center

Expectation: Simulation will produce magnetic and electric field waveforms and 2D model

Results: Output did not compile; model is too big for the given space

### Test 2:

Date: Feb 11, 2021

Test: Using gprMax to simulate 2D cross section (11cm in diameter) with void in the center

Expectation: Simulation will produce magnetic and electric field waveforms and 2D model

Results: Model successfully compiled

### Test 3:

Date: Feb 11, 2021

Test: Using gprMax to simulate 2D cross section (11cm in diameter) without any void

Expectation: Simulation will produce an output similar to Test 2 with varying waveform

Results: Model compiles and produces a different waveform from Test 2; proving that GPR will work as a sensor for our applications

## **GPR Image Classification Tests**

### Test 1:

Date: Mar 10, 2021

Test: Using ImageAI to train an image classification AI that can identify a good vs bad pole. This test uses the ResNet50 model and all tests.

Num\_objects = 25

Num\_experiments = 100

Num testing data = 20

Num training data = 80

Expectation: Trained model will be able to recognize a good and bad pole Results: The model is unable to correctly identify a good or bad pole

### Test 2:

Date: Mar 10, 2021

Test: Using ImageAI to train an image classification AI that can identify a good vs bad pole. This test uses the ResNet50 model and all tests.

Num\_objects = 2

Num\_experiments = 200

Num testing data = 30

Num training data = 80

Expectation: Trained model will be able to recognize a good and bad pole Results: The model is unable to correctly identify a good or bad pole

### Test 3:

Date: Mar 10, 2021

Test: Using ImageAI to train an image classification AI that can identify a good vs bad pole. This test uses the ResNet50 model and all tests.

Num\_objects = 2 Num\_experiments = 150 Num testing data = 90

Num training data = 230

Expectation: Trained model will be able to recognize a good and bad pole

Results: The model is unable to correctly identify a good or bad pole

### Test 4:

Date: Mar 10, 2021

Test: Using ImageAI to train an image classification AI that can identify a good vs bad pole. This test uses the ResNet50 model and all tests using a Ricker waveform.

Num\_objects = 2 Num\_experiments = 50 Num testing data = 10

Num training data = 80

Expectation: Trained model will be able to recognize a good and bad pole Results: The model is unable to correctly identify a good or bad pole

### Test 5:

Date: Mar 10, 2021

Test: Using ImageAI to train an image classification AI that can identify a good vs bad pole. This test uses the ResNet50 model and all tests using a Ricker waveform.

Num\_objects = 2

Num\_experiments = 100

Num testing data = 400

Num training data = 980

Expectation: Trained model will be able to recognize a good and bad pole Results: The model is unable to correctly identify a good or bad pole

### Test 6:

Date: Mar 10, 2021

Test: Using ImageAI to train an image classification AI that can identify a good vs bad pole. This test uses the InceptionV3 model and all tests using a Ricker waveform.

Num\_objects = 2 Num\_experiments = 50 Num testing data = 10

Num training data = 80

Expectation: Trained model will be able to recognize a good and bad pole Results: The model is unable to correctly identify a good or bad pole

### Test 7:

Date: Mar 10, 2021

Test: Using ImageAI to train an image classification AI that can identify a good vs bad pole. This test uses the ResNet50 model and all tests using a Ricker waveform.

Num\_objects = 2

Num\_experiments = 100

Num testing data = 40

Num training data = 230

Expectation: Trained model will be able to recognize a good and bad pole

Results: Trained model can accurately classify good and bad pole waveforms from the GPR simulation.