

## Problems with Equality Constraints

- Realistic optimization problems have **constraints**.
- If these constraints are **equality** constraints, then the optimization methods developed in the previous lecture can be **modified** to solve the problem with constraints.

## Problem Formulation

$$\text{minimize } f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) \quad (1)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) &= 0 \\ g_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ g_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Note that if  $m$  variables  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  could be found, the remaining  $n$  variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are fixed by the  $n$  constraints.

In compact form, the above problem can be stated as:

$$\textit{minimize } f(x, u) \tag{3}$$

*subject to*

$$g(x, u) = 0 \tag{4}$$

where  $x \in \mathcal{R}^n$  and  $u \in \mathcal{R}^m$

### Approach # 1:

- Eliminate the constraint equations by substituting  $x$  in terms of  $u$  in the function to be optimized.
- Use unconstrained optimization methods to optimize the function in  $u$ .

### Approach # 2:

- Develop necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality from “scratch” for problems with equality constraints.

Example 1:

$$\min f(x, u) = 4x^2 + 5u^2 \quad (5)$$

*subject to*

$$2x + 3u = 6 \quad (6)$$

1. Write  $x$  in terms of  $u$  in the constraint equation.

$$x = \frac{6 - 3u}{2} \quad (7)$$

2. Substitute  $x$  in terms of  $u$  in the function to be minimized.

$$\begin{aligned} \min f(u) &= 4 \left( \frac{6 - 3u}{2} \right)^2 + 5u^2 \\ &= 14u^2 - 36u + 36 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

3. Use method developed for minimization of unconstrained functions.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} = 0 \quad (9)$$

Solving for  $u$ , we get:

$$u^* = 1.286 \quad (10)$$

Substitute this value of  $u$  in the constraint equation to get the optimal value of  $x$ .

$$x^* = 1.071 \quad (11)$$

The minimum value of the function is

$$f(x^*, u^*) = 12.857 \quad (12)$$

Note that if there was no equality constraint, the minimum value of the function would have been ZERO.

Example 2:

$$\min f(x, u) = (u_1 - x_1)^2 + (x_1 - u_2)^2 + (u_2 - x_2)^4 + (u_2 - x_3)^4 \quad (13)$$

*subject to*

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + 3x_2 + 2u_1 - 6 &= 0 \\ 2x_2 + u_1 + 3u_2 - 6 &= 0 \\ x_2 + 3x_3 + 2u_2 - 6 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

It is not so easy to use Approach # 1 here.



## Approach # 1

### Advantages:

- Utilizes methods developed for **unconstrained** optimization.

### Disdvantages:

- It is tedious to eliminate constraints if there are many constraint equations.

## Approach # 2: Necessary Conditions

$$\text{minimize } f(x, u) \quad (15)$$

*subject to*

$$g(x, u) = 0 \quad (16)$$

where  $x \in \mathcal{R}^n$  and  $u \in \mathcal{R}^m$

A **stationary point** is one where  $df = 0$  for arbitrary  $du$  while holding  $dg = 0$  letting  $dx$  change as it will.

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cdot dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \cdot du \quad (17)$$

and

$$dg = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}.dx + \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}.du \quad (18)$$

Since  $dg = 0$ , this implies that

$$dx = - \left[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \right]^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}.du \quad (19)$$

Substituting in the expression for  $df$ , we get

$$df = \left[ -\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \right]^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right] du \quad (20)$$

If  $df$  has to be zero for *arbitrary*  $du$ , it is **necessary** that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \right]^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} = 0 \quad (21)$$

Thus, we need to solve *simultaneously*, the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, u) &= 0 \quad (n \text{ equations}) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \right]^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} &= 0 \quad (m \text{ equations}) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Can you solve Example 2 now?

Example 3:

Find the stationary value of

$$f(x, u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{u^2}{b^2} \right) \quad (23)$$

subject to

$$g(x, u) = x + mu - c = 0 \quad (24)$$

#### Example 4:

A chemical company owns an elliptic piece of land whose principle axes are of lengths  $2a$  and  $2b$  meters. It is desired to build a *rectangular* tank that has the largest possible perimeter that fits in this land. What are the dimensions of this tank?

Hint: This problem can be mathematically formulated as follows:

$$\text{Maximize } P = 4(x + y) \quad (25)$$

*with the constraint*

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1 \quad (26)$$

## Approach # 2

### Advantages:

- It is not necessary to eliminate the constraint equations

### Disdvantages:

- A large number  $(n + m)$  of nonlinear equations have to solved *simultaneously*.

## The Case for Using Numerical Methods

- For both unconstrained as well as equality constrained optimization problems, a set of nonlinear algebraic equations have to be solved *simultaneously*.
- For all but the simplest problems, these equations are tedious to solve by “hand calculations”.



## Numerical Problem Formulation

Given a set of  $n$  equations in  $n$  variables:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= 0 \\ p_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ p_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

to find a numerical solution for  $x$  starting from

$$x_0 = [x_{10} \ x_{20} \ \dots \ x_{n0}]^T \tag{28}$$

In compact form, we need to find the solution of

$$p(x) = 0 \tag{29}$$

starting from  $x = x_0$

## Newton's Method

Define  $H = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \big|_{x=x_k}$  where  $x_k$  is the value of  $x$  at the  $k^{th}$  iteration.

$$p(x) = p(x_k) + H.(x - x_k) + \textit{higher order terms}$$

When  $x = x^*$ ,  $p(x^*) = 0$ . Substituting above:

$$0 \approx p(x_k) + H(x^* - x_k)$$

Solving for  $x^*$ , we get:

$$x^* \approx x_k - (H)^{-1} p(x_k)$$

The above expression is used to develop the Newton's method:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - (H)^{-1} p(x_k) \quad (30)$$

Can you solve Example 2 now?

Example 5:

Use Newton's method to solve the following system of nonlinear equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 3x_1 - \cos(x_2x_3) - \frac{1}{2} &= 0 \\ x_1^2 - 81(x_2 + 0.1)^2 + \sin(x_3) + 1.06 &= 0 \\ e^{-x_1x_2} + 20x_3 + \frac{10\pi - 3}{3} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

starting from the initial condition

$$x_0 = [0.1 \ 0.1 \ -0.1]^T \quad (32)$$

Using the formula

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - (H)^{-1} p(x_k) \quad (33)$$

we get the following:

$$p(x_k) = \begin{bmatrix} 3x_1 - \cos(x_2x_3) - \frac{1}{2} \\ x_1^2 - 81(x_2 + 0.1)^2 + \sin(x_3) + 1.06 \\ e^{-x_1x_2} + 20x_3 + \frac{10\pi - 3}{3} \end{bmatrix}_{x=x_k}$$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & x_3 \sin(x_2x_3) & x_2 \sin(x_2x_3) \\ 2x_1 & -162(x_2 + 0.1) & \cos(x_3) \\ -x_2 e^{-x_1x_2} & -x_1 e^{-x_1x_2} & 20 \end{bmatrix}_{x=x_k}$$

The results using Newton's method are as follows:

$k$	$x_{1_k}$	$x_{2_k}$	$x_{1_k}$	$\ x_{k+1} - x_k\ $
0	0.10000000	0.10000000	-0.10000000	-
1	0.50003702	0.01946686	-0.52152047	0.422
2	0.50004593	0.00158859	-0.52355711	$1.79 \times 10^{-2}$
3	0.50000034	0.00001244	-0.52359845	$1.58 \times 10^{-3}$
4	0.50000000	0.00000000	-0.52359877	$1.24 \times 10^{-5}$