

Time-Discretized Controllers

P Controller:

Continuous Time:

$$U(t) = k_c E(t) \quad (1)$$

Discrete Time:

$$U(k) = k_c E(k) \quad (2)$$

PI Controller:

Continuous Time:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{de_I}{dt} &= E(t) \\ U(t) &= k_c E(t) + \frac{k_c}{\tau_I} e_I(t)\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

Discrete Time:

$$\begin{aligned}e_I(k) &= e_I(k-1) + hE(k-1) \\ U(k) &= \frac{k_c}{\tau_I} e_I(k) + k_c E(k)\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

PID Controller:

Continuous Time:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{de_I}{dt} &= E \\ \frac{de_F}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{\lambda}e_F + \frac{1}{\lambda}E \\ U &= k_c E + \frac{k_c}{\tau_I}e_I + k_c\tau_D \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}e_F + \frac{1}{\lambda}E \right)\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

Discrete Time:

$$\begin{aligned}e_I(k) &= e_I(k-1) + hE(k-1) \\e_F(k) &= e^{-\frac{h}{\lambda}} e_F(k-1) + \left(1 - e^{-\frac{h}{\lambda}}\right) E(k-1) \\U(k) &= \frac{k_c}{\tau_I} e_I(k) + k_c \tau_D \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} e_F(k)\right) + \\&\quad \frac{1}{\lambda} E(k) + k_c E(k)\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

Analysis of Discrete Time Linear Systems

A continuous system of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx + Du\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

can be discretized to the form:

$$\begin{aligned}x(k) &= \Phi x(k-1) + \Gamma u(k-1) \\ y(k) &= Cx(k) + Du(k)\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

where

$$\Phi = e^{Ah} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma = \int_0^h e^{At} B dt\tag{9}$$

Steady State Analysis

The steady state relation between the input and the output is the **same** whether the **continuous time** description is used or the **discrete time** description is used.

Illustrative Example

Consider the following continuous time model of a CSTR where a 1st order reaction is occurring:

$$\frac{dC_A}{dt} = - \left(\frac{1 + kV/F}{V/F} \right) C_A + C_{A_{in}} \quad (10)$$

- Write the above model in discrete time.
- What is the steady state relation between C_A and $C_{A_{in}}$ using (a) the continuous time model and (b) the discrete time model?

Deviation Variables

Similar to continuous time linear systems, one can define deviation variables for discrete time linear systems:

Define:

$$\begin{aligned}U(k-1) &= u(k-1) - u_s \\X(k) &= x(k) - x_s \\Y(k) &= y(k) - y_s\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

Consider the discrete time system:

$$\begin{aligned}x(k) &= \Phi x(k-1) + \Gamma u(k-1) \\ y(k) &= Cx(k) + Du(k)\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

At steady state:

$$\begin{aligned}x_s &= \Phi x_s + \Gamma u_s \\ y_s &= Cx_s + Du_s\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

Subtracting eq. 13 from eq. 12 and using the definitions in eq. 11, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}X(k) &= \Phi X(k-1) + \Gamma U(k-1) \\ Y(k) &= CX(k) + DU(k)\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

Stability Analysis

Recall that for a continuous time system of the form:

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = AX + BU \quad (15)$$

is **stable** if all $Re(\lambda(A))$ have negative real parts.

Discrete time representation of the above system is:

$$X(k) = \Phi X(k-1) + \Gamma U(k-1) \quad (16)$$

where $\Phi = e^{Ah}$

The eigenvalues of Φ and the eigenvalues of A are related as follows:

$$\lambda_i(\Phi) = e^{[\lambda_i(A)]h} \quad (17)$$

Stability Test

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \{ \lambda_i(A) \} < 0 & \iff | \lambda_i(\Phi) | < 1 \\ \operatorname{Re} \{ \lambda_i(A) \} = 0 & \iff | \lambda_i(\Phi) | = 1 \\ \operatorname{Re} \{ \lambda_i(A) \} > 0 & \iff | \lambda_i(\Phi) | > 1 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

A discrete-time linear system is stable if and only if all the eigenvalues of the Φ matrix are in the interior of the unit circle.

Another observation from $\lambda_i(\Phi) = e^{[\lambda_i(A)]h}$ is:

$$\lambda_i(A) \text{ real and negative} \iff \lambda_i(\Phi) \text{ real and } 0 < \lambda_i(\Phi) < 1$$

(19)

Thus, if all the eigenvalues of the Φ matrix are real and between 0 and 1, the response is non-oscillatory.