## **3- Foundation Design**

Foundation design consists of two steps

- 1- determine the bearing capacity of the foundation
- 2- determine the settlement of the foundation —

You can get suitable size of the foundation from this step

----> Foundation settlement should not exceed allowable settlement







**Bearing Capacity Failure** 

## Structural Foundations are grouped into two main groups.

## 1- Shallow Foundation

- Spread Footings
- Continuous Footings
- Combined Footings
- Mat Foundation

## 2- Deep Foundation

- Driven Piles
- Drilled Shaft
- Auger Cat Piles



3- Compensated or floating foundations









## **Shallow Foundation**











# **Deep Foundation**







### **Drilled Shafts**



## **Auger Cast Pile**

How Auger-Cast Piles are Installed



First the auger drills deep into the ground.

Then as the auger is brought back up, concrete flows out from its tip, filling the hole with concrete. To stabilize the top of the hole, a tube is placed in it and soil packed around it.



One last push to get the steel all the way in.





A steel rebar cage is lowered into the hole. The steel is guided all the way down the hole.



## **Analysis and Design of Shallow Foundation**

- I- Bearing Capacity
- **II-** Settlement



## **I- ULTIMATE BEARING CAPACITY THEORIES:**

- TERZAGHI'S BEARING CAPACITY THEORY
- GENERAL BEARING CAPACITY EQUATION

## I- Bearing Capacity

#### TERZAGHI'S BEARING CAPACITY THEORY

#### **Terzaghi's Equation (1943)**

-Utilizing Prandtl's theory, Buisman (1940) expressed the maximum bearing capacity of soils by superimposing the contribution of cohesion, overburden pressure, and density of the soil, His expression is commonly referred to as Terzaghi's equation. Presumably, it was associated with Terzaghi's in the English speaking countries following the publication of his book (Theoretical Soil Mechanics) in 1943.







## I- Bearing Capacity

TERZAGHI'S BEARING CAPACITY THEORY



FIG. 6.28 The problem of the bearing capacity of shallow foundations failing in general shear with parameters c and  $\phi$ . Boundaries are simplified. I = active Rankine zone; II = Prandtl zone; III = passive Rankine zone.



## **I- ULTIMATE BEARING CAPACITY THEORIES:**

## **1- TERZAGHI'S BEARING CAPACITY THEORY**



Failure surface in soil at ultimate load for a continuous rough rigid foundation as assumed by Terzaghi

## I- Bearing Capacity



FIGURE 2.4 Determination of  $P_{pc}$  ( $\phi \neq 0, \gamma = 0, q = 0, c \neq 0$ )

## **Ultimate Bearing Capacity**

$$q_u = q_c + q_q + q_\gamma$$

$$q_u = cN_c + qN_q + \frac{1}{2}\gamma BN_\gamma$$



where  $N_c$ ,  $N_a$ , and  $N_u$  = bearing capacity factors, and



	TABLE 2.1 Terzaghi's Bearing Capacity Factors—Eqs. (2.32), (2.33), and (2.34)											
	ф	$N_c$	$N_q$	Nγ	ф	$N_c$	$N_q$	$N_{\gamma}$	ф	$N_c$	$N_q$	$N_{\gamma}$
	0	5.70	1.00	0.00	17	14.60	5.45	2.18	34	52.64	36.50	38.04
	1	6.00	1.1	0.01	18	15.12	6.04	2.59	35	57.75	41.44	45.41
	2	6.30	1.22	0.04	19	16.57	6.70	3.07	36	63.53	47.16	54.36
	3	6.62	1.35	0.06	20	17.69	7.44	3.64	37	70.01	53.80	65.27
	4	6.97	1.49	0.10	21	18.92	8.26	4.31	38	77.50	61.55	78.61
	5	7.34	1.64	0.14	22	20.27	9.19	5.09	39	85.97	70.61	95.03
	6	7.73	1.81	0.20	23	21.75	10.23	6.00	40	95.66	81.27	115.31
	7	8.15	2.00	0.27	24	23.36	11.40	7.08	41	106.81	93.85	140.51
	8	8.60	2.21	0.35	25	25.13	12.72	8.34	42	119.67	108.75	171.99
	- 9	9.09	2.44	0.44	26	27.09	14.21	9.84	43	134.58	126.50	211.56
	10	9.61	2.69	0.56	27	29.24	15.90	11.60	44	151.95	147.74	261.60
	11	10.16	2.98	0.69	28	31.61	17.81	13.70	45	172.28	173.28	325.34
	12	10.76	3.29	0.85	29	34.24	19.98	16.18	46	196.22	204.19	407.11
	13	11.41	3.63	1.04	30	37.16	22.46	19.13	47	224.55	241.80	512.84
	14	12.11	4.02	1.26	31	40.41	25.28	22.65	48	258.28	287.85	650.87
	15	12.86	4.45	1.52	32	44.04	28.52	26.87	49	298.71	344.63	831.99
	16	13.68	4.92	1.82	33	48.09	32.23	31.94	50	347.50	415.14	1072.80
l '												

φ	$N_{c}$	$N_q$	$N_{\rm y}$	φ	$N_c$	$N_q$	$N_{\gamma}$	φ	$N_{c}$	$N_q$	$N_{\gamma}$
0	5.70	1.00	0.00	17	14.60	5.45	2.18	34	52.64	36.50	38.04
1	6.00	1.1	0.01	18	15.12	6.04	2.59	35	57.75	41.44	45.41
2	6.30	1.22	0.04	19	16.57	6.70	3.07	36	63.53	47.16	54.36
3	6.62	1.35	0.06	20	17.69	7.44	3.64	37	70.01	53.80	65.27
4	6.97	1.49	0.10	21	18.92	8.26	4.31	38	77.50	61.55	78.61
5	7.34	1.64	0.14	22	20.27	9.19	5.09	39	85.97	70.61	95.03
6	7.73	1.81	0.20	23	21.75	10.23	6.00	40	95.66	81.27	115.31
7	8.15	2.00	0.27	24	23.36	11.40	7.08	41	106.81	93.85	140.51
8	8.60	2.21	0.35	25	25.13	12.72	8.34	42	119.67	108.75	171.99
- 9	9.09	2.44	0.44	26	27.09	14.21	9.84	43	134.58	126.50	211.56
10	9.61	2.69	0.56	27	29.24	15.90	11.60	44	151.95	147.74	261.60
11	10.16	2.98	0.69	28	31.61	17.81	13.70	45	172.28	173.28	325.34
12	10.76	3.29	0.85	29	34.24	19.98	16.18	46	196.22	204.19	407.11
13	11.41	3.63	1.04	30	37.16	22.46	19.13	47	224.55	241.80	512.84
14	12.11	4.02	1.26	31	40.41	25.28	22.65	48	258.28	287.85	650.87
15	12.86	4.45	1.52	32	44.04	28.52	26.87	49	298.71	344.63	831.99
16	13.68	4.92	1.82	33	48.09	32.23	31.94	50	347.50	415.14	1072.80

**TABLE 2.1** Terzaghi's Bearing Capacity Factors—Eqs. (2.32), (2.33), and (2.34)

## **1- TERZAGHI'S BEARING CAPACITY THEORY**



 $q_u = 1.3cN_c + qN_q + 0.4\gamma BN_{\gamma}$  (square foundation; plan  $B \times B$ )

### EFFECT OF WATER TABLE



#### **MEYERHOF'S BEARING CAPACITY THEORY**

$$q_u = cN_c + qN_q + \frac{1}{2}\gamma BN_{\gamma}$$

where  $N_c$ ,  $N_q$ , and  $N_{\gamma}$  = bearing capacity factors B = width of the foundation





FIGURE 2.7 Slip line fields for a rough continuous foundation

φ	$N_c$	$N_q$	$N_{\gamma}$	ф	$N_c$	$N_q$	Nγ	φ	$N_c$	$N_q$	$N_{_{\rm Y}}$
0	5.14	1 00	0.00	17	12.34	4.77	1.66	34	42.16	29.44	31.15
1	5.38	1.09	0.002	18	13.10	5.26	2.00	35	46.12	33.30	37.15
2	5.63	1.20	0.01	19	13.93	5.80	2.40	36	50.59	37.75	44.43
3	5.90	1.31	0.02	20	14.83	6.40	2.87	37	55.63	42.92	53.27
4	6.19	1.43	0.04	21	15.82	7.07	3.42	38	61.35	48.93	64.07
5	6.49	1.57	0.07	22	16.88	7.82	4.07	39	67.87	55.96	77.33
6	6.81	1.72	0.11	23	18.05	8.66	4.82	40	75.31	64.20	93.69
7	7.16	1.88	0.15	24	19.32	9.60	5.72	41	83.86	73.90	113.99
8	7.53	2.06	0.21	25	20.72	10.66	6.77	42	93.71	85.38	139.32
9	7.92	2.25	0.28	26	22.25	11.85	8.00	43	105.11	99.02	171.14
10	8.35	2.47	0.37	27	23.94	13.20	9.46	44	118.37	115.31	211.41
11	8.80	2.71	0.47	28	25.80	14.72	11.19	45	133.88	134.88	262.74
12	9.28	2.97	0.60	29	27.86	16.44	13.24	46	152.10	158.51	328.73
13	9.81	3.26	0.74	30	30.14	18.40	15.67	47	173.64	187.21	414.32
14	10.37	3.59	0.92	31	32.67	20.63	18.56	48	199.26	222.31	526.44
15	10.98	3.94	1.13	32	35.49	23.18	22.02	49	229.93	265.51	674.91
16	11.63	4.34	1.38	33	38.64	26.09	26.17	50	266.89	319.07	873.84

**TABLE 2.3** Variation of Meyerhof's Bearing Capacity Factors  $N_c$ ,  $N_q$ , and  $N_{\gamma}$ <br/>[Eqs. (2.66), (2.67), and (2.72)]

### **2- GENERAL BEARING CAPACITY EQUATION**

$$q_{u} = cN_{c}\lambda_{cs}\lambda_{cd} + qN_{q}\lambda_{qs}\lambda_{qd} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma BN_{\gamma}\lambda_{\gamma s}\lambda_{\gamma d}$$

where  $\lambda_{cs}$ ,  $\lambda_{qs}$ ,  $\lambda_{\gamma s}$  = shape factors  $\lambda_{cd}$ ,  $\lambda_{qd}$ ,  $\lambda_{\gamma d}$  = depth factors



FIGURE 2.7 Slip line fields for a rough continuous foundation

Factor	Relationship	Reference			
Shape	For $\phi = 0^\circ$ : $\lambda_{cs} = 1 + 0.2 \left(\frac{B}{L}\right)$ $\lambda_{qr} = 1$	Meyerhof [8]			
	$\lambda_{\gamma \gamma} = 1$		Factor	Relationship	Reference
	For $\phi \ge 10^\circ$ : $\lambda_{cs} = 1 + 0.2 \left(\frac{B}{L}\right) \tan^2 \left(45 + \frac{\phi}{2}\right)$ $\lambda_{qs} = \lambda_{qs} = 1 + 0.1 \left(\frac{B}{L}\right) \tan^2 \left(45 + \frac{\phi}{2}\right)$			For $D_f / B \le 1$ : $\lambda_{cf} = 1 + 0.4 \left( \frac{D_f}{B} \right)$ $\lambda_{cf} = 1 + 2 \tan \phi (1 - \sin \phi)^2 \left( \frac{D_f}{B} \right)$	Hansen [9]
	$\lambda_{q} = 1 + \left(\frac{N_q}{N_c}\right) \left(\frac{B}{L}\right)$ [ <i>Note:</i> Use Eq. (2.67) for $N_c$ and Eq. (2.66) for $N_q$ as given in Table 2.3] $\lambda_{qr} = 1 + \left(\frac{B}{L}\right) \tan \phi$	DeBeer [19]		$\lambda_{re} = 1$ For $D_f / B > 1$ : $\lambda_{ce} = 1 + 0.4 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{D_f}{B} \right)$ $\lambda_{re} = 1 + 2 \tan \phi (1 - \sin \phi)^2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{D_f}{B} \right)$ $\lambda_{re} = 1$ $\left[ \qquad 1 + 2 \tan \phi (1 - \sin \phi)^2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{D_f}{B} \right) \right]$	
	$\lambda_{\gamma s} = 1 - 0.4 \left(\frac{B}{L}\right)$			$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Note: } \tan^4 \left( \frac{-\gamma}{B} \right) \text{ is in radians} \end{bmatrix}$	
Depth	For $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ : $\lambda_{cd} = 1 + 0.2 \left( \frac{D_f}{B} \right)$ $\lambda_{qd} = \lambda_{qd} = 1$	Meyerhof [8]			
	For $\phi \ge 10^\circ$ : $\lambda_{cd} = 1 + 0.2 \left( \frac{D_f}{B} \right) \tan \left( 45 + \frac{\phi}{2} \right)$				
	$\lambda_{qd} = \lambda_{qd} = 1 + 0.1 \left( \frac{-\gamma}{B} \right) \tan \left( \frac{45 + \frac{\gamma}{2}}{2} \right)$				
Factor	Relationship	Reference			

#### ULTIMATE BEARING CAPACITY UNDER INCLINED AND ECCENTRIC LOADS

$$q_{u} = cN_{c}\lambda_{cs}\lambda_{cd}\lambda_{ci} + qN_{q}\lambda_{qs}\lambda_{qd}\lambda_{qi} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma BN_{\gamma}\lambda_{\gamma s}\lambda_{\gamma d}\lambda_{\gamma i}$$

÷.

where  $N_c$  ,  $N_q$  ,  $N_{\rm y}{\,=\,}$  bearing capacity factors

$$\begin{array}{l} \lambda_{cs}, \, \lambda_{qs}, \, \lambda_{\gamma s} = \text{shape factors} \\ \lambda_{cd}, \, \lambda_{qd}, \, \lambda_{\gamma d} = \text{depth factors} \\ \lambda_{ci}, \, \lambda_{qi}, \, \lambda_{\gamma i} = \text{inclination factors} \end{array}$$



FIGURE 3.1 Plastic zones in soil near a foundation with inclined load

Meyerhof [4] provided the following inclination factor relationships

$$\lambda_{ci} = \lambda_{qi} = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^{\circ}}{90^{\circ}}\right)^2 \tag{3.14}$$

$$\lambda_{\gamma i} = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^{\circ}}{\phi^{\circ}}\right)^2 \tag{3.15}$$

Hansen [5] also suggested the following relationships for inclination factors

$$\lambda_{qi} = \left(1 - \frac{0.5Q_{u}\sin\alpha}{Q_{u}\cos\alpha + BLc\cot\phi}\right)^{5}$$
(3.16)

$$\lambda_{ci} = \lambda_{qi} - \left(\frac{1 - \lambda_{qi}}{N_q - 1}\right)$$

$$\uparrow$$
(3.17)

Table 2.3

$$\lambda_{\gamma i} = \left(1 - \frac{0.7Q_u \sin\alpha}{Q_u \cos\alpha + BLc \cot\phi}\right)^5$$
(3.18)

where, in Eqs. (3.14) to (3.18)

 $\alpha$  = inclination of the load on the foundation with the vertical

 $Q_u$  = ultimate load on the foundation =  $q_u BL$ 

- B = width of the foundation
- L =length of the foundation



 $A_f$  = Area of the foundation V = Vertical Load H = Horizontal load c = cohesion